|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question No.** | **Question** | **Clarification sought** |
| **Quanta of Development, Policies C1, CP1, Ch1 and Housing Trajectory** |  |  |
| 10 | Is it necessary to clarify the potential s106 measures? | Please can you confirm that the question is asking do we need to list s106 requirements as part of the reasoned justification for Policy C1? |
| **Policies for Places,**  **Keeping Life Local** |  |  |
| 17 | There is a reference to ***tackling deprivation*** in questions for ‘Keeping Life Local’. | Is there meant to be a question relating to this? |
| **Strategic Sites Allocations**  **Kensal Gasworks** |  |  |
| 23 | Is the Opportunity Area deliverable? | Are there any particular aspects which are being referred to, or all aspects of the Opportunity Area? |
| **Earl’s Court** |  |  |
| 27 | Has consideration been given to the sustainability of the local residential community? | Is this question related to Earl’s Court ‘Place’ or the strategic site, or both?  Does the question relate to environmental sustainability, or more likely, the provision of appropriate infrastructure to sustain a local residential community? |
| 28 | Should there be reference to the importance of the Warwick Road corridor? | Is this question relating to the Earl’s Court ‘Place’ or the strategic site? If it is the strategic site we are not sure that there is a direct link. |
| **Warwick Road** |  |  |
| 29 | Is additional wording necessary to be consistent with CA6? | Please can it be confirmed which additional wording we should be referring to. |
| **Latimer and North Kensington Sports Centre** |  |  |
| 33 | Does the vision ignore affordable housing provision and associated social infrastructure? | Clarification is required that this question refers to the Latimer ‘Place’ rather than directly to the North Kensington Sports Centre |
| 34 | Is the proposal for a new shopping centre at Latimer Road station unsound? | Clarification is required that this question refers to the Latimer ‘Place’ rather than directly to the North Kensington Sports Centre |
| 35 | Should there be reference to improved transport and community safety? | Clarification is required that this question refers to the Latimer ‘Place’ rather than directly to the North Kensington Sports Centre |
| **Fostering Vitality** |  |  |
| 36 | Is there too great an emphasis on the protection of higher order town centres? | We do not protect higher order town centres, but certain uses within them. Is this is what the question is referring to? |
| **Better Travel Choices and An Engaging Public Realm** |  |  |
| 42 | Is CT1, as drafted, too restrictive? | Policy CT1 contains a number of different policy objectives. Is the question referring to any particular aspect of Policy CT1? |
| **Renewing the Legacy and Respecting Environmental Limits** |  |  |
| 47 | Is there sufficient justification for the policy regarding subterranean extensions? | Does this question refer to Policy CE1c or Policy CL2 g, or both policies?  Additionally is the question referring to the restriction on self contained basement dwellings because of flooding which forms part of Policy CE2 a? |
| 51 | Should each site be considered on its merits rather than a blanket approach? | Is this question referring to the whole of Policy CL2 or the Policy CL2 h relating to tall buildings? |
| **Additional question asked by RBKC.** | The current terminology in ‘Renewing the Legacy’ which was drafted prior to PPS5. | Would it be helpful to recommend the amendment of the terminology used in ‘Renewing the Legacy’ so it reflects the latest wording in PPS5? |