

Question No.	Question	Clarification sought	Response
Quanta of Development, Policies C1, CP1, Ch1 and Housing Trajectory			
10	Is it necessary to clarify the potential s106 measures?	Please can you confirm that the question is asking do we need to list s106 requirements as part of the reasoned justification for Policy C1?	This question relates to paras B25 & B26 of 5/05 and the advice in Planning Obligations: Practice Guidance, paras 3.9 & 3.10 on the role of core strategies. Production of the SPD on S106 appears to have been delayed and begs the question – does section 29.2 and Policy C1 (together with reference to topic-based policies) provide sufficient information to “ <i>..allow developers to predict as accurately as possible the likely contributions they will be asked to make..</i> ” (B25).

Policies for Places, Keeping Life Local			
17	There is a reference to tackling deprivation in questions for 'Keeping Life Local'.	Is there meant to be a question relating to this?	Drafting error. This reference should have been deleted.
Strategic Sites Allocations Kensal Gasworks			
23	Is the Opportunity Area deliverable?	Are there any particular aspects which are being referred to, or all aspects of the Opportunity Area?	This relates to my reading of the replacement London Plan which lists as the first item in table 8.2 of Indicative Actions as the production of Planning Frameworks for Opportunity Areas within a timescale of 2010-2014 (p208)) but also lists the constraints and challenges to be faced (p220)

Earl's Court			
27	Has consideration been given to the sustainability of the local residential community?	Is this question related to Earl's Court 'Place' or the strategic site, or both? Does the question relate to environmental sustainability, or more likely, the provision of appropriate infrastructure to sustain a local residential community?	Relates to Earls Court 'Place' and needs of the local community (provision of infrastructure) – raised by Kensington & Chelsea Social Council (KCSC)
28	Should there be reference to the importance of the Warwick Road corridor?	Is this question relating to the Earl's Court 'Place' or the strategic site? If it is the strategic site we are not sure that there is a direct link.	This relates to Earls Court 'Place', raised by Brookfields, and may have been adequately addressed by the change to para 10.1.2
Warwick Road			
29	Is additional wording necessary to be consistent with CA6?	Please can it be confirmed which additional wording we should be referring to.	This is making sure the quantities of housing referred to in para 10.4.2 and Policy CA6 are consistent.

Latimer and North Kensington Sports Centre			
33	Does the vision ignore affordable housing provision and associated social infrastructure?	Clarification is required that this question refers to the Latimer 'Place' rather than directly to the North Kensington Sports Centre	Relates to CV9 and Latimer 'Place' – point raised by KCSC
34	Is the proposal for a new shopping centre at Latimer Road station unsound?	Clarification is required that this question refers to the Latimer 'Place' rather than directly to the North Kensington Sports Centre	Point raised by KCSC relating to Latimer 'Place'
35	Should there be reference to improved transport and community safety?	Clarification is required that this question refers to the Latimer 'Place' rather than directly to the North Kensington Sports Centre	Point raised by KCSC relating to Latimer 'Place'

Fostering Vitality			
36	Is there too great an emphasis on the protection of higher order town centres?	We do not protect higher order town centres, but certain uses within them. Is this is what the question is referring to?	Apologies for my shorthand. A number of representors (Metro Shopping Fund, Welcome Trust, Barclays Bank, Liongate Properties for example) question the degree of protection for certain town centre uses, specifically in CF3 and CF5.
Better Travel Choices and An Engaging Public Realm			
42	Is CT1, as drafted, too restrictive?	Policy CT1 contains a number of different policy objectives. Is the question referring to any particular aspect of Policy CT1?	Relates specifically to CT1 (b) & (c) raised by DP9.

Renewing the Legacy and Respecting Environmental Limits			
47	Is there sufficient justification for the policy regarding subterranean extensions?	Does this question refer to Policy CE1c or Policy CL2 g, or both policies? Additionally is the question referring to the restriction on self contained basement dwellings because of flooding which forms part of Policy CE2 a?	This refers to Policy CL2(g) and was raised by LPP relating to subterranean extensions and specifically listed buildings.
51	Should each site be considered on its merits rather than a blanket approach?	Is this question referring to the whole of Policy CL2 or the Policy CL2 h relating to tall buildings?	This relates to CL2(f) (extensions) and to CL2(h) (tall buildings), both matters also raised by LPP
Additional question asked by RBKC.	The current terminology in 'Renewing the Legacy' which was drafted prior to PPS5.	Would it be helpful to recommend the amendment of the terminology used in 'Renewing the Legacy' so it reflects the latest wording in PPS5?	Yes, this is a good point. Clearly the Strategy should reflect the latest Government advice.