

DRAFT MATTERS TO BE EXAMINED BY WAY OF PUBLIC HEARINGS

Vision & Objectives

Are the differentials between north & south adequately addressed by the vision?

Is the vision, as set out in CV1 achievable within the Plan period?

Do the Strategic Objectives provide a satisfactory means for guiding decisions in order to deliver the overall vision?

Is there a potential conflict between legacy and movement objectives (north-south improvements)?

Quanta of Development, Policies C1, CP1, CH1 & Housing

Trajectory

Has the Strategy struck the right balance between meeting the present and future London Plan targets for housing?

Is there justification for the targets in CH1?

Has it been demonstrated that the housing target can be met?

Is there sufficient flexibility in the application of CH1?

Are the quanta of office and comparison retail floorspace justified?

Is it necessary to clarify the potential S106 measures?

Policies for Places

Keeping Life Local

Is sufficient account taken of the need for social and community infrastructure to meet needs of increased population?

Is the sequential approach to changes of use in CK1 too restrictive?

Should CK1 provide flexibility for the relocation of uses through use swaps?

Is there justification for a 'double designation' for Portobello?

Should there be more protection for local shopping facilities in CK2?

Should CK3 give more support to walkable neighbourhoods?

Tackling deprivation

Strategic Sites Allocations

Kensal Gasworks

How secure is delivery of the proposed Cross Rail Station (CRS)?

What would be the impact on the development of the allocation in the absence of a CRS?

Have alternatives to the CRS been adequately researched/

What would be the impact of the HSE Consultation Zone remaining in force?

What is the position regarding the bridge links across the railway?

What impact would a reduced quantity of housing on this site have on the affordable housing requirement?

Is the Opportunity Area deliverable?

Has employment been given too low a priority?

Earls Court

Is there evidence to support a future town centre on the site?

Is there potential to increase the residential element of the redevelopment proposals?

Has consideration been given to the sustainability of the local residential community?

Should there be reference to the importance of the Warwick Road Corridor?

Warwick Road

Is additional wording necessary to be consistent with CA6?

Wornington Green

Does the allocation fail to provide sufficient flexibility to ensure deliverability?

Is there justification for the upheaval caused by the proposals?

Should there be an increase in the amount of social housing and community facilities?

Latimer & North Kensington Sports Centre

Does the vision ignore affordable housing provision and associated social infrastructure?

Is the proposal for a new shopping centre at Latimer Road Station unsound?

Should there be reference to improved transport and community safety?

Fostering Vitality

Is there too great an emphasis on the protection of higher order town centres?

Is CF2 too prescriptive in its requirement for large retail schemes to provide a range of shop unit sizes and affordable shops?

Should CF3 refer to a fuller range of town centre uses?

Is CF3 too restrictive in relation to non retail uses?

Is CF5 too restrictive in protecting office uses?

Is the exclusion of Earl's Court ward from the protection for hotels in CF8 justified?

Better Travel Choices & An Engaging Public Realm

Is CT1, as drafted, too restrictive?

Is there a need for linked cycle paths to be provided for in the plan?

Renewing the Legacy & Respecting Environmental Limits

Is CE1 reasonable in relation to standards required under the Building Regulations?

Should there be more realistic targets in CE1 in relation to sustainability?

Does CE2 accord with the Planning & Climate Change supplement to PPS1?

Is there sufficient justification for the policy regarding subterranean extensions?

Is CL3 too prescriptive, going beyond the assessment in PPG15?

Should CL1 make specific reference to the London Plan density matrix to determine appropriate densities?

Is CL2 too prescriptive and unduly restrictive in respect of high buildings??

Should each site be considered on its merits rather than a blanket approach?

Can the approach set down in CL5 be reasonably applied to commercial uses as well as residential?

Will CF5 be effective in the absence of specific recognised standards?

Should there be a moratorium on subterranean developments until Thames Water improvements have taken place?

Diversity of Housing

Will CH2 jeopardise the creation of mixed communities?

Are the thresholds too low?

Is there robust and credible evidence to support retention of the lower affordable housing threshold?

Is the application of standards required by CH2 to listed buildings justified and credible?

Is CH2 consistent with PPS3 and the London Plan?

Should CH2 give a stronger steer towards more family housing?

Is CH3 unduly restrictive?

Should CH3 give more protection to social rented housing?

Should it return to a policy of presumption of residential development on all sites?

Is the policy too restrictive when applied to all future developments?

Is the imposition of floorspace and ceiling height standards in CH2 sufficiently justified by the evidence?

Will the application of CH4 result in the disintegration of existing communities?

Infrastructure/Monitoring, Risks & Contingencies/ Proposals Map

DRAFT