

The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea

Local Development Scheme

Adopted
November 2012

Availability of the Local Development Scheme and Related Information

Copies of the Local Development Scheme are available for inspection in all local libraries and for inspection and sale in the Planning Information Office at the Customer Services Centre in the Kensington Town Hall.

The Local Development Scheme may also be viewed free of charge on the Council's web site:
<http://www.rbkc.gov.uk/Planning/>

Anyone wishing further details or advice may:

- contact the Planning Policy Team by e-mail: PlanningPolicy@rbkc.gov.uk
- phone the dedicated Local Development Framework 'hotline': 020 7361 3879
- write to: The Executive Director of Planning and Borough Development
The Policy Team
The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea
The Town Hall
Hornton Street
London, W8 7NX

Other links that will provide more detailed background information on the planning system are:

Communities and Local Government: *National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012)*

Mayor of London: *The London Plan Spatial Development Strategy for Greater London (July 2011)*
(<http://www.london.gov.uk/thelondonplan/>)

The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012

Contents	Page
Contact Details	2
Executive Summary	5
1. Introduction	
1.1 The Planning Framework	11
1.2 The Local Context	12
1.3 Links to other RBKC Strategies	13
1.4 Working with Neighbouring Boroughs	13
1.5 Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment	14
1.6 Further information	14
2. Progress to date and Future Documents	
2.1 Public Involvement in Planning	15
2.2 Review of the Core Strategy with a focus on North Kensington	15
2.3 Annual Monitoring Report	15
2.4 Evidence base and infrastructure assessment	16
2.5 Supplementary Planning Documents	16
3. The Documents the Council intends to prepare	
3.1 Priority One and Priority Two Projects	17
3.1.1 Priority One - A partial review of the Core Strategy – policies; Community Infrastructure Levy; Annual Monitoring Report and New Subterranean SPD	18
3.2 Priority Two Projects	19
3.2.1 List of Priority Two Projects	19

4. Policies to be used in the determination of planning applications	
4.1 ‘Saved’ Policies from the UDP following adoption of the Core Strategy	20
4.2 CAPS Statements	20
5. The Timetable and Milestones	20
6. Supporting Statement	
6.1 Programme direction and production	25
6.2 Resources	26
6.3 Public examinations	26
6.4 Problems and constraints	27
6.5 Supporting Evidence	28
Appendices	
Appendix A Saved Policies	29
Appendix B Evidence list to inform development of Core Strategy Policies	31
Appendix D Profiles of the Local Development Documents	36

Executive Summary

This document sets out the work programme for preparing the Local Development Framework. It covers a period for the next three years, to the end of 2015.

The Council has two priorities:

Priority 1

Partial Review of the Core Strategy for the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea with a focus on North Kensington:

- Enterprise review involving a review of employment policies in the Fostering Vitality chapter (Chapter 31)
- Review of Housing policies in the Diversity of Housing Chapter including Gypsies and Travellers (Chapter 35)
- Review of the Core Strategy policies relating to Basement development (Policies CL2 (g) and CE1 (c), and the related supplementary planning document
- Review of approach to public houses in the Borough and related uses
- Review of Conservation and Design policies in Chapter 34 – Renewing the Legacy
- Review of Core Strategy policies to ensure that they align with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

Introduction of RBKC Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)

New Subterranean SPD

2012 Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) and subsequent Monitoring Reports

Local Development Scheme 2012

Priority 2

Edenham Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)

Notting Hill Gate SPD

Kensal SPD

Public Involvement in Planning (incorporating Statement of Community Involvement)

The timetable for the documents which the Council intends to prepare is summarised in the tables overleaf.

Schedule of Proposed Local Development Documents

Development Plan Documents

PRIORITY ONE

Local Plan Reviews (DPDs)

Document Title	Brief Description	Conformity	Public consultation (Reg 18)	Publication consultation (Reg 19) and representations	Submission to the Secretary of State (Reg 22)	Independent Examination (Examination in Public) (Reg 24)	Adoption
Adopted Core Strategy for the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea with a focus on North Kensington	Sets out core spatial policies for the borough. It also includes allocations for the Borough's strategic sites, and the development management policies used to determine planning applications.						Adopted Dec 2010
Review of policy approach to public houses in the Borough and other uses which provide a wider social role together with character and use policy	Identifies the Council's approach towards preventing the loss of public houses to other uses where planning permission required. Will also examine other uses in the A Use Class to determine whether worth protecting. Generic policy relating to character and use.	Conformity with National Planning Policy Framework and general conformity with the London Plan	March 2012 to July 2012 Issues and Options: March 2012 to April 2012 Draft Policies: June 2012 – July 2012	September to October 2012	December 2012	Possibly February 2013	Estimated April 2013

Review of Core Strategy policies relating to basement development (Policies CL2(g) and CE1 (c))	Identifies the Council's approach towards subterranean development	Conformity with National Planning Policy Framework and general conformity with the London Plan	April 2012 to January 2013 Issues consultation : April 2012 to June 2012 Draft policies consultation: December 2012 to January 2013	March to May 2013	June 2013	Possibly September 2013	Estimated November 2013
Enterprise Review involving a review of all employment policies in the Fostering Vitality Chapter (Chapter 31)	The 'Fostering Vitality' chapter contains planning policies which deal with Class B1 Business premises and land; A Class uses and hotels.	Conformity with National Planning Policy Framework and general conformity with the London Plan	October 2012 – March 2013 Issues and Options: October to December 2012 Draft policies :February to March 2013	July to August 2013	September 2013	Possibly November 2013	Estimated February 2014
Review of the policies contained in the 'Diversity of Housing' Chapter (35)	The 'Diversity of Housing' chapter deals with the policies concerned with housing delivery.	Conformity with National Planning Policy Framework and general conformity with the London Plan	October 2012 – March 2013 Issues and Options: October to December 2012 Draft policies February to March 2013	July to August 2013	September 2013	Possibly November 2013	Estimated February 2014
Review of Design and conservation policies in Chapter 34 'Renewing the Legacy'	This chapter of the Core Strategy deals with policies which cover built development, conservation issues, listed buildings and quality and design	Conformity with National Planning Policy Framework and general conformity with the London Plan	December 2012 to January 2013	July to August 2013	September 2013	Possibly November 2013	Estimated February 2014
Review of Core Strategy policies to ensure that they are in alignment with the NPPF and the new London Plan.	The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) provides the government policy framework in which the Core Strategy fits.	Conformity with the National Planning Policy Framework and general conformity with the London Plan	December 2012 to January 2013 (No document proposed at this stage)	July to August 2013	September 2013	Possibly November 2013	Estimated February 2014

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)								
Introduction of RBKC Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)	CIL will largely replace s106 planning contributions. It will apply to most forms of development in the Borough over a certain floorspace threshold. It will set out the basis on which RBKC will collect the contributions.	Conformity with the CIL Regulations 2010	Consultation on Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule February to March 2013	Consultation on the final charging schedule July to August 2013	Submission for examination September 2013	Pre-Examination Meeting not known	Independent Examination Estimated November 2013	Adoption Estimated February 2014

Annual Monitoring Report (AMR)						
Annual Monitoring Report (AMR)	The document analyses how the different planning policies contained in the Core Strategy have delivered.	Government regulations especially – The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012	Borough wide	SA not required	Not required	Not required. Publication normally early January each year but can be published at any time.

New Subterranean SPD						
Document Title	Brief Description	Conformity	Coverage	Scope for Sustainability Appraisal	Public Participation on draft SPD and SA (Reg 17)	Adoption
New Subterranean SPD	A document offering guidance on the revised policies regarding basement development	Conformity with the NPPF, general conformity with London Plan and conformity with the Core Strategy	Borough wide	SA not required	To be confirmed, likely to be March – May 2013	November 2013 following adoption of revised Core Strategy

Local Development Scheme 2012						
Document Title	Brief description	Conformity	Coverage	Scope for Sustainability Appraisal	Public Participation on draft SPD and SA (Reg 17)	Adoption

Priority Two

Supplementary Planning Documents

Document Title	Brief Description	Conformity	Coverage	Scope for Sustainability Appraisal	Public Participation on draft SPD and SA	Adoption
Edenham SPD	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the site.	Conformity with the NPPF, general conformity with the London Plan and conformity with the Core Strategy	The Land adjacent to Trellick Tower Strategic Site	SA not required	March to May 2013	June 2013
Notting Hill Gate SPD	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the SPD area.	Conformity with the NPPF, general conformity with the London Plan and conformity with the Core Strategy	Notting Hill Gate area	SA not required	Initial Issues and Options December 2012 to January 2013 Consultation on draft March to May 2013	June 2013
Kensal SPD	A Planning Brief and master plan to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The Kensal Gasworks (site north and south of the railway) Strategic Site.	April 2013	June 2012 to August 2013	October 2013

Public Involvement in Planning (incorporating Statement of Community Involvement)	This document sets out how the department will consult and involve people with regard to planning applications and other planning documents.	Government regulations	Borough wide	SA not required	March 2012 to January 2013	March 2013
---	--	------------------------	--------------	-----------------	----------------------------	------------

1. Introduction

1.1 The Planning Framework

The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 required the Council to replace its Unitary Development Plan with a 'Local Development Framework' (LDF). This term is no longer used in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 where local plans and supplementary planning documents are now known only as local development documents (LDDs). A Core Strategy that is in alignment with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) will now be known as a Local Plan.

The 'Local Development Scheme' (LDS) sets out the work programme for the coming years. The LDS is a document that is required to be published and it is kept under review. This review of the Local Development Scheme has been conducted in conjunction with the latest Annual Monitoring Report (AMR). This ensures that the planning documents respond to the most up-to-date information on the local context and the Council's progress is easier to monitor. The latest version of the Local Development Scheme will always be available on the Council's website at www.rbkc.gov.uk, and following the links to Planning, Planning Policy and the Local Development Framework.

The Council took the opportunity in 2007, with the agreement of the Secretary of State, to 'save' a number of the policies from the Unitary Development Plan 2002. Whilst the adoption of the Core Strategy in December 2010 reduced the number of saved policies still further, there are still a number which have been 'saved'. A schedule of those Unitary Development Plan policies which have been saved is included at Appendix A.

The Mayor of London's Spatial Development Strategy July 2011 ('the London Plan') forms part of the Development Plan for the Borough under s38(6) of the 2004 Act. Local Development Documents prepared by the Council must be in general conformity with the London Plan, and the Council will remain in close contact with the GLA to ensure this is achieved.

There are two types of Local Development Documents that can be prepared:

- Development plan documents (DPDs): these are subject to independent examination. The policies and proposals in these documents will form part of the Borough's Development Plan.
- Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs): these cover a wide range of issues where we wish to provide further guidance. They can take the form of documents which offer guidance on a particular issue relevant for the whole borough or the form of briefs for individual sites. They will not form part of the development plan or be subject to independent public examination, but will be material considerations to be taken into account when considering planning applications.

1.2 Local Context

The last Local Development Scheme was published in March 2010. Since then the Council has adopted its Core Strategy (December 2010). This largely superseded the adopted policies of the former Unitary Development Plan, but some of the saved policies were retained.

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in March 2012 and it superseded in one document all the previous policy guidance contained in Planning Policy Statements (PPS) and Planning Policy Guidance (PPG). The Government also took the opportunity of some minor revisions to the plan-making process so that the name 'Core Strategy' has now been replaced with the title 'Local Plan' where it is in accordance with the NPPF, and 'Local Development Framework' (LDF) is no longer a term in use.

In view of Government changes to the national policy framework and the need to respond to the challenge of supporting growth the Council has decided to undertake a partial review of the Core Strategy. The LDS provides the timetable for those policy themes which will be reviewed. Once this process is completed and the relevant policies and other changes have been found sound, the local Development Plan for the Borough will be known as a Local Plan.

The Core Strategy was produced in conjunction with local residents, groups and businesses and seeks to strike a balance between the Borough's strategically important function of providing a high quality residential environment close to the centre of London, the needs of commercial development and the need to preserve and enhance the cherished built and natural environments. This continued the theme of the former Unitary Development Plan (UDP) but there was a deliberate move towards creating a more sustainable approach with a better equilibrium between land and buildings used for residential purposes and that for employment.

Among the key issues the Royal Borough faces is one of its size and location. It is one of the smaller London boroughs in terms of area and population but because of its history and position close to the centre of London it is the location for a wide variety of activities. It is one of London's most attractive and desirable residential areas with some of the highest residential land values in the country.

The quality of the Borough's built environment is reflected in the fact that nearly three quarters of its area is designated as conservation areas. As an historic area of London on the borders of the West End, the Borough plays host to a number of international attractions and institutions – such as the major shopping streets of Knightsbridge, King's Road, Kensington High Street and Portobello Road; the Earl's Court Exhibition Centre, the South Kensington Museums and Kensington Palace. These all contribute to the Borough's economic well-being and national and international standing.

While the Borough has the highest average income in Great Britain, it is not without social and other problems; Golborne Ward, in the north of the Borough, is among the 5 % most deprived in the country. The Borough has the highest population density in England and Wales. The Borough has a very high transience rate, with one-fifth of its residents having had a different address in the previous year.

The purpose of the Development Plan Document (DPD) and the Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs) is to shape future development within Kensington and Chelsea. There are a number of key strategic challenges that the Borough faces. Not least is the issue of building on the Borough's success and to further develop the strong and varied sense of place. In order to achieve the Core Strategy vision the Borough has, and will continue to, work in partnership with other organisations to:

- stimulate regeneration in North Kensington through the provision of better transport, better housing and better facilities;
- enhance the reputation of our national and international destinations by supporting and encouraging retail and cultural activities in particular; and
- uphold the residential quality of life, through cherishing quality in the built environment, acting on environmental issues and facilitating local living.

1.3 Links to other RBKC Strategies

The Kensington and Chelsea Partnership developed a new Community Strategy during 2008, which was adopted by the Council on 3 December 2008. Joint consultation was carried out on the LDF and the Community Strategy both in February 2008, and in July 2008 when the draft Community Strategy was published in parallel with the 'Towards Preferred Options' edition of the Core Strategy and North Kensington Plan. The Core Strategy's policies are referenced in the Community Strategy, demonstrating a strong interface between the two.

The Council has a number of strategies, studies, reports and master plans in place, which have implications for land use and spatial planning. These will be taken into account in the preparation of Local Development Documents. They are listed in Appendix C.

This list, with links to the relevant documents, is on the Planning Policy part of the Council's website. It can be accessed by following the links to Planning, Planning Policy, The Local Development Framework and then selecting the Evidence Base within the 'Accompanying documents to the Core Strategy.'

1.4 Working with neighbouring boroughs – the 'duty to co-operate'

The Localism Act 2011 requires councils to consult and actively engage with neighbouring councils in the preparation of local plans. This simply formalises existing practice as the Council has always worked with adjoining boroughs. For example, the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment was jointly prepared with the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham. The Council also worked closely with other

central London boroughs to prepare the Strategic Local Infrastructure Assessment study (completed in July 2009).

The cross boundary linkages have specifically been recognised in relation to Earl's Court, White City, the Kensal Area, the Museums district, Waste and Gypsies and Travellers. The exact arrangements for such cross border working will remain open and depend on the specific issues being discussed.

1.5 Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment

Sustainability Appraisal ensures the potential social, environmental and economic effects of policies are considered while the policies are being developed. In carrying out Sustainability Appraisals the Council meets the requirements of the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004.

The Council carried out an initial Sustainability Appraisal Scoping of the Core Strategy in September 2005. This sets out the objectives regarding sustainability for the Borough. From this, scoping reports for individual documents are produced, where necessary, in the form of 'Addendum SA Scoping Reports'. A full Sustainability Appraisal for each document is then prepared.

The Council prepared an update of the LDF Scoping Report in 2009 to reflect the time that has passed in the preparation of the various DPD components, and to ensure that the SA remained relevant and useful. The Council also prepared a Sustainability Appraisal of the 'Submission' draft of the Core Strategy and North Kensington Plan.

Further information on the Council's Sustainability Appraisal can be found at: www.rbkc.gov.uk/Planning/localdevelopmentframework/ldf_page4.asp.

1.6 Further information

For further information on the process of preparing Local Plans and Supplementary Planning Documents, please see the National Planning Policy Framework, which can be found on the Communities and Local Government website at www.communities.gov.uk. A link can be found on the CLG website to the 'Plan Making Manual' hosted on the Planning Advisory Service (PAS) website.

2. Progress to Date and Future Documents

Since September 2004 and the 'commencement' of the preparation of the Core Strategy, the Council has gathered a robust and up to date evidence base. It has established better and more sensitive research and monitoring systems and has prepared various Local Development Documents. This process is continuing and further information on proposed documents is set out below:

2.1 Public Involvement in Planning (Incorporating the Statement of Community Involvement)

Community and public engagement is a fundamental requirement of the planning system. The Council has long been committed to public engagement and the Local Development Documents will continue to be produced with the involvement of the local community and others with an interest in the Royal Borough.

The Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) sets out the standards which the Council intends to achieve in relation to involving the community in the preparation, alteration and continuing review of all Local Development Documents and in development management decisions. It was formally adopted by this Council in December 2007.

However, the Localism Act 2011 and the need to examine better ways of not just consulting, but participation, has prompted the Council to prepare a new document which will involve the community at an earlier stage in the planning process. A start has already been made on this process with a better website and a weekly planning bulletin giving an update on consultations and the preparation of planning documents. However, this document will also take into account the emerging Neighbourhood Planning agenda and is therefore known as 'Public Involvement in Planning' rather than a 'Statement of Community Involvement' which is considered to have a slightly more limited scope.

2.2 Review of Core Strategy with a focus on North Kensington

This document which is the principal planning document for the Borough was adopted in December 2010. It contains a vision for the Borough until 2028, 14 places in the Borough which merit special; consideration, the strategic sites, an infrastructure delivery schedule and the development management policies. There is therefore no need for the Council to prepare separate Development Management or Site Allocations DPDs. Alongside the London Plan (adopted July 2011) it forms the Development Plan for the Borough. However, the policies that are being currently reviewed will be contained within this document and it forms the principal planning document for the Borough.

2.3 Annual Monitoring Report (AMR)

As with previous years, the Council has prepared an up-to-date Annual Monitoring Report for 2010/11. Among other things, the AMR shows how the Council is

progressing with the production of each of the Local Development Documents as reported in this Local Development Scheme.

The AMR is also a vital tool in assessing development trends and emerging issues. It is regarded as a central element of the evidence base. It is hoped that the new format used for the 2010/11 AMR makes it a more comprehensive document and easier to read. It will generally be published annually, but an opportunity will be taken for earlier review if necessary and details will be published on the Council's planning website.

2.4 Evidence base

A list of the evidence that the Council completed as part of the preparation of the Core Strategy is included in Appendix B. The full list, with links to the relevant documents is on the Planning Policy part of the Council's website. It can be accessed via the links to Planning, Planning Policy, and then Evidence Base Documents. It is currently being updated in connection with the partial review of the Core Strategy. The infrastructure table included in the Core Strategy is also being updated in connection with the introduction of a RBKC Community Infrastructure Levy in early 2014.

2.5 Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs)

Supplementary planning documents provide guidance that amplifies the policies and proposals contained in the Core Strategy. The Council has prepared a number of Supplementary Planning Documents since the adoption of the last Local Development Scheme in March 2010. These documents are set out in Table 1, with an adoption date, together with those in the course of preparation which have a planned date for adoption.

SPD adopted since publication of previous LDS (March 2010)	
	<i>Date of adoption</i>
<i>Trees and Development</i>	<i>April 2010</i>
<i>Planning Obligations (s106)</i>	<i>August 2010</i>
<i>Building Heights</i>	<i>September 2010</i>
<i>Access Design Guide</i>	<i>December 2010</i>
<i>Kensington Academy and Leisure Centre Planning Brief</i>	<i>May 2011</i>
<i>Silchester Garages SPD</i>	<i>July 2011</i>
<i>The Shopfront Design Guide SPD</i>	<i>November 2011</i>
<i>Natural History Museum Grounds Development Framework Planning Guidelines</i>	<i>February 2012</i>
<i>Earl's Court and West Kensington Opportunity Area</i>	<i>March 2012</i>
SPG in preparation	Progress
<i>Westway SPD</i>	<i>Adoption October 2012</i>

Edenham SPD	Adoption anticipated June 2013
Notting Hill Gate SPD	Adoption anticipated June 2013
Kensal SPD	Adoption anticipated October 2013
New Subterranean Development SPD	November 2013

Table 1: SPDs adopted or in preparation

More detailed timings of the SPDs that the Council is in the process writing, or plans to adopt in the future are included in the tables. For specific consultation dates please look on the Council Planning website under 'consultations' or consult the weekly Planning Bulletin. Profiles of the SPDs are included at Appendix C.

3. The Documents the Council Intends to Prepare

The priority for the coming year is the partial review of the Council's Core Strategy, and to ensure that it is in conformity with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). However, the production of a number of site specific documents to provide guidance is also important. This will ensure effective, high quality and quicker delivery on the strategic sites and some of the 'Places' identified within the Core Strategy.

Whilst the Council recognises that the 2008 Planning Regulations do not require all SPDs to be included within the Council's LDS the Council has done so for clarity.

The Council will prioritise the production of its Local Development Documents as follows:

Priority 1 – Time Critical

A partial review of the Core Strategy with a focus on North Kensington DPD
Introduction of the RBKC Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)
New Subterranean Development SPD
Local Development Scheme 2012
Annual Monitoring Report (AMR)

Priority 2

Kensal SPD
Edenham SPD
Notting Hill Gate SPD
Public Involvement in Planning

Outside the partial review of the Core Strategy the Council recognises that priorities may change, and indeed additional SPDs may be necessary. These will be identified in due course, but updates will be given in the weekly planning bulletin and there may be further information on the Council's Planning website.

3.1 Priority One

3.1.1 A partial review of the Core Strategy with a focus on North Kensington and the introduction of a RBKC Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)

The Council's Core Strategy with a focus on North Kensington was only adopted in December 2010 and is therefore an up to date document. However, since that time a number of factors have come together which makes a partial review justified. Central to this is the introduction of the NPPF. There are 6 strands to the review.

1. **Housing** - early indications from the last Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) indicate that housing delivery, both market and affordable, was not as high as hoped and the delivery policies may need adjusting. Coupled to this the affordable rent product introduced through central government legislation needs to be recognised in the Council's planning policies for housing.
2. **Enterprise** - the Council's policies regarding employment also need to be reviewed, so that they can be finely tuned for Kensington and Chelsea. With such a densely populated Borough, the very high cost of land and with a shortage of land and buildings the Council must ensure that they optimise both the delivery of employment facilities, but also housing delivery - to a degree the two are intertwined.
3. **Basements** - the impact of the construction of basements remains of deep concern to many residents and in view of the steady increase in the number of planning applications the Council is conducting a thorough review of the policy approach. The Council wishes to ensure that their approach to such development is as robust as possible and will continue to be at the vanguard of research and application.
4. **Public Houses and related uses** - the number of public houses which are being lost to other uses, particularly residential use, is having an effect on the character of the borough and those finely grained mix of uses which give it such a distinctive sense of place. The Council is closing this loophole through new policies that protect public houses and those other facilities within the A Class of the Use Classes Order which enable people to meet together as well as offer a service to the community. Closely coupled with this is a policy on character and use to ensure that those uses which contribute to the character of an area and its sense of place are properly considered and retained if necessary.
5. **Conservation and Design** - with the advent of the National Planning Policy Framework there is a return to the Local Plan where all planning policies, including those for detailed development management, are included in one document. The Council is therefore taking the opportunity to 'roll forward' the detailed design and conservation policies in the UDP into the Core Strategy.

This will include a review, including plugging gaps, but many have stood the test of time, and need little modification.

6. **NPPF alignment** - although the strands above will also be addressing alignment to the NPPF, there is a need to ensure any miscellaneous matters are updated to ensure that the Core Strategy is in complete alignment and it can become a Local Plan.

In parallel with the partial review of the Core Strategy set out above, the **Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)** will be introduced. This will replace large parts of s106 planning obligations, which are negotiated on a site by site basis with a standard charge. Preparing CIL alongside the Core Strategy review ensures that the policies within the Core Strategy remain justified, positively prepared and effective. CIL must be in place by April 2014 for the Council to be able to continue to collect financial contributions relating to infrastructure.

Annual Monitoring Report (AMR)

The Council is required to produce a monitoring report which shows how delivery is being achieved through the adopted planning policies. The AMR is a vital tool in assessing development trends and emerging issues. It is regarded as a central element of the planning evidence base.

Each monitoring report has traditionally covered the yearly period of April 1st to March 31st, but this is likely to vary in the future.

The annual monitoring report is used to:

- assess what impact the policies are having on meeting other national and regional targets;
- check consistency with national policy and general conformity with the London Plan;
- review the evidence base;
- assess whether any policies need to be replaced to meet the Council's sustainable development objectives; and
- identify what action needs to be taken if policies need to be replaced or modified. Such changes are brought forward through revisions to the Local Development Scheme.

A new Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) will be produced for **Subterranean Development**.

3.2 Priority Two Projects

- 3.2.1 Site specific guidance will be prepared in the form of Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs) for the Edenham site (adjacent to Trellick Tower), Notting Hill Gate and Kensal, where development potential is being currently assessed.

The Council is also updating the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) into a new document entitled, 'Involving the Public in Planning'.

4. Policies to be used in the determination of planning applications

4.1 Saved policies following adoption of the Core Strategy

The adoption of the Core Strategy meant that the majority of the policies which had been saved in 2007 from the 2002 Unitary Development Plan were replaced by the new policies within the Core Strategy.

The Council did however; recognise that the Core Strategy would not replace all the saved policies within the UDP. Some policies will remain in use until the Council develops replacement policies within the Core Strategy. It is hoped that the partial review process currently being undertaken will deal with these outstanding policies.

Appendix A lists those saved policies that have not been replaced by the Core Strategy.

Conservation Area Proposal Statements

The contents of all Conservation Area Proposal Statements form a material consideration in the determination of relevant planning applications. It is the intention that these documents will be revised to form character surveys as part of a factual evidence base. However, any policy content contained within these statements will be addressed in the partial review of the Core Strategy, particularly the policies in the 'Renewing the Legacy' chapter. The current status of existing Conservation Area Proposals Statements remains as Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG), supplementary to Core Strategy policy, but prepared in advance of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

5. The Timetable and Milestones

The following tables present the programme for the delivery of the Local Development Documents that are identified in this Local Development Scheme.

Detailed profiles for each Development Plan Document are included in Appendix C.

Schedule of Proposed Local Development Documents

PRIORITY ONE

Core Strategy Development Plan Policies

Document Title	Brief Description	Conformity	Public consultation (Reg 18)	Publication consultation (Reg 19) and representations	Submission to the Secretary of State (Reg 22)	Independent Examination (Examination in Public) (Reg 24)	Adoption
Adopted Core Strategy for the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea with a focus on North Kensington	Sets out core spatial policies for the borough. It also includes allocations for the Borough's strategic sites, and the development management policies used to determine planning applications.	Conformity with the National Planning Policy Framework and general conformity with the London Plan					Dec 2010
Enterprise Review involving a review of all employment policies in the Fostering Vitality Chapter (Chapter 31)	The Fostering Vitality chapter contains planning policies which deal with Class B1 Business premises and land; A Class uses and hotels.	Conformity with National Planning Policy Framework and general conformity with the London Plan	October 2012 to March 2013 Issues and Options: October to December 2012 Draft policies: February to March 2013	July to August 2013	September 2013	Possibly November 2013	Estimated February 2014
Review of the policies contained in the 'Diversity of Housing'	The 'Diversity of Housing' chapter deals with the policies concerned with housing delivery.	Conformity with National Planning Policy Framework and general conformity with the	October 2012 to March 2013 Issues and Options: October	July to August 2013	September 2013	Possibly November 2013	Estimated February 2014

Chapter (Chapter 35)		London Plan	to December 2012 Draft policies: February to March 2013				
Review of Core Strategy policies relating to basement development (Policies CL2(g) and CE1 (c))	Identifies the Council's approach towards subterranean development	Conformity with National Planning Policy Framework and general conformity with the London Plan	April 2012 to January 2013 Issues: April 2012 to June 2012 Draft policies: December 2012 to January 2013	March to May 2013	June 2013	Possibly September 2013	Estimated November 2013
Review of policy approach to public houses in the Borough and other uses which provide a wider social role	Identifies the Council's approach towards preventing the loss of public houses to other uses where planning permission required. Will also examine other uses in the A Use Class to determine whether worth protecting.	Conformity with National Planning Policy Framework and general conformity with the London Plan	December 2012 to January 2013 Issues and Options: March 2012 to April 2012 Draft policies: June 2012 – July 2012	September to October 2012	December 2012	Possibly February 2013	Estimated April 2013
Review of Design and conservation policies in Chapter 34 'Renewing the Legacy'	This chapter of the Core Strategy deals with policies which cover built development, conservation issues, listed buildings and quality and design	Conformity with National Planning Policy Framework and general conformity with the London Plan	December 2012 to January 2013	July to August 2013	September 2013	Possibly November 2013	Estimated February 2014
Review of Core Strategy policies to ensure that they are in alignment with the NPPF and the new London Plan.	The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) provides the government policy framework in which the Core Strategy fits.	Conformity with the National Planning Policy Framework and general conformity with the London Plan	December 2012 to January 2013	July to August 2013	September 2013	Possibly November 2013	Estimated February 2014

New Subterranean SPD	A document offering guidance on the revised policies regarding basement development	Conformity with the NPPF, general conformity with the London Plan and conformity with the Core Strategy	Borough wide	SA not required	To be confirmed, likely to be March – May 2013	November 2013, following adoption of the revised Core Strategy
-----------------------------	---	---	--------------	-----------------	--	--

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)

Introduction of RBKC Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)	CIL will largely replace s106 planning contributions. It will apply to most forms of development in the Borough over a certain floorspace threshold. It will set out the basis on which RBKC will collect the contributions.	Conformity with the CIL Regulations 2010	Consultation on Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule February to March 2013	Consultation on the final charging schedule July to August 2013	Submission for examination September 2013	Pre-Examination Meeting not known	Independent Examination Estimated November 2013	Adoption Estimated February 2014
--	--	--	--	---	---	-----------------------------------	---	----------------------------------

Local Development Scheme 2012

Local Development Scheme 2012	This document lays out the timetable for the production of planning documents.	Government regulations	Borough wide	SA not required	Not required	September 2012
-------------------------------	--	------------------------	--------------	-----------------	--------------	----------------

Annual Monitoring Report (AMR)

Annual Monitoring Report (AMR)	The document analyses how the different planning policies contained in the Core Strategy have delivered	Government regulations, especially The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.	Borough wide	SA not required	Not required	Not required. Publication normally early January each year.
--------------------------------	---	---	--------------	-----------------	--------------	---

PRIORITY TWO

Supplementary Planning Documents

Document Title	Brief Description	Conformity	Coverage	Scope for Sustainability Appraisal	Public Participation on draft SPD and SA (Reg 17)	Adoption
Edenham SPD	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the site.	Conformity with the NPPF, general conformity with the London Plan and conformity with the Core Strategy	The Land adjacent to Trellick Tower Strategic Site	SA not required	March to May 2013	June 2013
Notting Hill Gate SPD	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the SPD area.	Conformity with the NPPF, general conformity with the London Plan and conformity with the Core Strategy	Notting Hill Gate area	SA not required	Initial Issues and Options December 2012 to January 2013 Consultation on draft March to May 2013	June 2013
Kensal SPD	A Planning Brief and master plan to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The Kensal Gasworks (site north and south of the railway) Strategic Site.	April 2013	June 2012 to August 2013	October 2013
Public Involvement in Planning (incorporating Statement of Community Involvement)	This document sets out how the department will consult and involve people with regard to planning applications and other planning documents.	Government regulations	Borough wide	SA not required	March 2012 to January 2013	March 2013

6. Supporting Statement

6.1 Programme direction and production

The production of the Core Strategy (the principal Development Plan Document) and Supplementary Planning Documents is a continuous process and resources need to be planned on this basis. Overall responsibility for the strategic overview of the timetable for the production of the partial review of the Core Strategy is with the Executive Director for Planning and Borough Development with the production of other planning documents overseen by the Head of Policy and Design.

The majority of Local Development Documents (LDDs) will be produced by the department's Planning Policy and Neighbourhood teams, although some will be produced by members of the Design Team.

The Planning Policy team comprises:

- Policy Team Leader
- Four Senior Planning Officers;
- one Research / Monitoring Officer;
- one Senior Administration Officer who works for both the Policy and Neighbourhood teams.

The Neighbourhood team comprises:

- Neighbourhood Team Leader
- one Senior Planning Officer
- one Planning Officer
- two Urban Designers

The Conservation, Design and Arboriculture team comprises:

- Conservation, Design and Arboricultural Team Leader
- two arboricultural officers
- three part time Senior Conservation and Design Officers
- five Senior Conservation and Design Officers
- part time assistant planner

In addition the Council employs a number of Transport planners who also contribute to planning documents. We also draw on expertise in Housing, Education, Regeneration, Property and Social Services as necessary, and have close working relationships with key partners such as the Primary Care Trust (PCT) and the Police.

The Team Leaders of each of the three teams, in close consultation with the Head of Policy and Design, are responsible for the day-to-day running of the planning documents that they are responsible for producing. The Research / Monitoring Officer is responsible for the production of the AMR, for GIS and any other census information.

Political responsibility for the Development Management and other policy documents rests with the Cabinet Member for Planning. Planning policy documents are subject to the Council's overview and scrutiny process and regular reports are made to the Overview and Scrutiny Committees on the Public Realm and Housing. The submission and final adoption of the Development Plan Documents will be subject to full Council resolution. The Local Development Scheme and Supplementary Planning Documents will be approved by the Cabinet Member through Key Decisions.

6.2 Resources

A significant element of the costs of producing the Local Development Documents will be staffing costs. All staffing costs will be contained within the revenue budgets.

Consultants will be commissioned to assist in further evidence gathering wherever appropriate. The Council has the capacity to conduct the Sustainable Appraisal of various LDDs in-house and will therefore not commission consultants to carry out this work. This aids significantly in integrating the SA process with that of the development of policy.

Further research will be conducted in-house wherever the skills and capacity exists. However, any further research needs that may require the commissioning of consultants will be met from consultancy budgets.

Development Plan Documents which includes the policies in the Core Strategy, have to be shown to be sound, that is, justified and effective.

The Council has established pre-application charging. This principle of cost recovery will be used to ensure costs incurred on strategic sites in particular are recovered from the relevant developer.

6.3 Public examinations

The Council plans for an examination of the new or revised policies which will come forward as part of the partial review of the Core Strategy.

These policies will be the subject of a public examination by an Inspector from the Planning Inspectorate. The Inspector is required to assess the 'soundness' of the policies, where they must be found to be 'justified, effective, positively prepared' and consistent with National Policy.

The Inspector's findings following a probable Examination in Public (EiP) are no longer binding on the Council, but in practice the findings will carry substantial weight in any subsequent planning appeal. In planning the timetable for the preparation of the partial review of the Core Strategy, the Council has estimated when the public examinations are likely to occur and how long they may last. The exact timings and length of examinations will be agreed with the Planning Inspectorate (PINS).

The timings for the Examinations in Public contained in this LDS also take into account the time required for writing up the representations before Submission to the Secretary of State (SoS) and finalising the arrangements for the EiP, including the preparation of any additional evidence and appointing an EiP programme officer.

6.4 Problems and constraints

It must be recognised that there will always be threats to the achievement of the programme. The following risks and mitigation measures have been identified.

High Risk	
<p>Staff stability and experience Planning staff, over the three year period covered by this LDS, may leave the Council for a whole variety of reasons – new careers, lifestyle changes, motherhood, ill health, and retirement.</p>	<p><i>The Council seeks to retain staff through its employment benefits – when vacancies in permanent staff occur the Council will seek to recruit speedily, usually in house or on a tri-borough basis.</i></p> <p><i>When staff shortages occur work will be slowed on SPDs and resources put to the higher priority policy review in the Core Strategy.</i></p> <p><i>The use of consultants and temporary staff will be limited given the reduction in Council revenue.</i></p>
<p>Planning Inspectorate Slippage may occur if the Council cannot secure appropriate dates from the Planning Inspectorate for examinations in public or if the volume of representations is large.</p>	<p><i>The Planning Inspectorate will be given early notice of the Council’s programme and will be kept informed of revisions to it. It will be up to the Planning Inspectorate to assist the Council in meeting its milestones in the Local Development Scheme.</i></p>
<p>Changing priorities Priorities may change over time, informed by an emerging evidence base.</p>	<p><i>The LDS will be kept up to date through the monitoring process of the AMR</i></p>
Medium Risk	
<p>Other Agencies’ Capacity to engage The Regulations identify a range of agencies that must be consulted during the partial review of the Core Strategy.</p>	<p><i>The statutory consultees will be informed so that they are fully aware of the Council’s programme and the timing of their likely involvement in it. The Council will work with outside agencies as far as possible to help them respond in a timely manner.</i></p>
<p>A new London Plan may alter planning policy across London. The Council will set out to produce policies that are in general conformity with the London Plan. It is, however, possible that</p>	<p><i>Local Development Documents have to be in general conformity with the London Plan and there is a medium risk of the Mayor of London objecting to submitted documents on the basis of them not being in general</i></p>

<p>some of the policy directions for London may change over time as reviews go forward and this could potentially set challenges. An example is the affordable rent product.</p>	<p><i>conformity with his reviewed policies. This will depend on the timings of the reviews of the London Plan. Whilst the latest version was only adopted in July 2011 there are reviews in certain areas such as housing. The Borough will remain in close contact with the GLA to ensure continued general conformity.</i></p>
<p>New Government Guidance in the form of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)</p> <p>In view of the fact that government guidance is up to date having been published in March 2012, the partial review of the Core Strategy should be able to be in alignment with the document.</p>	<p><i>In view of the fact that government guidance has recently been published there is the opportunity to ensure that the Core Strategy review is in alignment with the NPPF and on this basis it is considered to be low risk.</i></p>

6.5 Supporting Evidence

Whilst the Council is satisfied that the partial review of the Core Strategy will be based on a robust evidence base, it does recognise that further research, survey and monitoring information will be needed to help the Council to identify opportunities, constraints, issues and policy approaches for the Royal Borough and to develop future LDDs. The Council will need to ensure that it has sufficient social, environmental, economic and physical information to identify the spatial characteristics of the Borough. The Council will use the local knowledge of the community, and the expertise of commercial interests, to develop the evidence to support robust policies in the Core Strategy.

As part of the partial review process, a range of background research has been, and is being, undertaken. A large proportion of this will be prepared by the Council but some will be prepared by consultants.

Resources are limited and the Council has had to adopt a realistic approach in developing its evidence base - it must be proportional to the issue. Appendix B includes the principal pieces of work which provided the evidence base for the Core Strategy, but this will be updated as part of the review process.

Information will be reviewed to ensure that the evidence base is kept up-to-date and reflects local circumstances. It may be appropriate to commission other studies as work on other Local Development Documents progresses.

Appendix A: An overview of those UDP policies ‘Saved’ in the Core Strategy

	Policy Description
ST 35	Support control of night-time and weekend lorry movement
ST 36	Monitor demand in the controlled parking zone
ST 37	Oppose and increased capacity at Heathrow Airport STC
CD1	Protect and enhance views and vistas along the riverside
CD2	Object to developments that affect views of the Chelsea riverside
CD4	Resist permanently moored vessels on the river
CD5	Protect and enhance existing residential moorings at Battersea Reach
CD8	Protect important views and vistas around the Royal Hospital
CD9	Protect the open spaces around the Royal Hospital from development
CD10	Protect views around the South Kensington Museums Area
CD11	Preserve and enhance character of South Kensington Museums Area
CD13	Restrict building height around Kensington Gardens and Hyde Park
CD14	Ensure new buildings do not impose themselves on Kensington Palace
CD15	Resist proposals encroaching or affecting the setting of Holland Park
CD16	Promote public access to Kensal Green and Brompton Cemeteries
CD17	Protect the long-distance view from King Henry's Mound to St. Pauls
CD26	Encourage improvement of land/buildings which are in poor condition
CD31	Resist development of backland sites STC
CD43	To have regard to the standards set out in the Planning Standards chapter
CD44	Resist additional storeys and roof level alterations STC
CD45	Permit additional storeys and roof level alterations STC
CD46	Resist the introduction of roof level terraces STC
CD47	To resist proposals for extensions STC
CD48	To resist proposals for conservatories STC
CD49	To resist side extensions to buildings STC
CD54	Resist off-street car parking in forecourts and gardens STC
CD55	Ensure character of mews properties is preserved and enhanced
CD56	Resist loss of and inappropriate alterations/extensions to artists' studios
CD63	Consider the effect of proposals on views in CAPS
CD72	Require suitable shop signage on combined shopping units
CD73	Resist open shop fronts
CD74	Resist shop fronts resulting in removal of separate access to residential
CD77	Permit awnings and blinds that are in character with the building
CD78	Permit flagpoles unless their siting would harm the areas character
CD89	Retain religious buildings of architectural or townscape merit
H4	Resist encroachment into residential areas of commercial activities
H8	Require appropriate social and community facilities in major developments
H9	Resist residential development designed to a very low density

H10	Require that housing designed for families is designed to a lower density
H17	Resist loss of existing small self-contained flats
E8	Resist loss of existing general industrial uses
E11	Encourage provision of start-up units
E12	Encourage refurbishment of existing office and industrial buildings
E13	Encourage premises for locally based service industries and offices
E15	Seek provision of light industrial premises in North Kensington
E19	Adhere to conditions that limit premises in North Kensington to industrial
E22	Adhere to conditions that limit premises in Employment Zones to industrial
E26	Encourage improvement of light industrial units in Employment Zones
E28	Resist establishment of diplomatic uses in specified areas
E29	Permit establishment of diplomatic uses in specified areas STC
TR16	Seek improvements at public transport interchanges
TR17	Seek the provision of interchange facilities where none presently exist
TR18	Require coach facilities for picking up and dropping off of hotel customers
TR19	Encourage provision of coach parking at major hotels and attractions
TR20	Resist the loss of off-street coach parking
TR21	Support restrictions on coach movements in local areas
TR26	Implement schemes that slow down traffic on minor roads
TR32	Maintain the number of pay and display parking spaces
TR39	Permit only small-scale development in less accessible areas
TR40	Resist the formation of new accesses on major roads
TR44	Resist development which would result in the loss of on-street parking
TR45	Resist development of helicopter facilities in the Borough
SC7	Safeguard sites identified for Local Education Authority Proposals
SC8	Encourage shared use of purpose-built education facilities
SC9	Negotiate provision of workplace nurseries
T5	Resist provision of new temporary sleeping accommodation STC
T6	Allow extensions to existing temporary sleeping accommodation STC
LR4	Require new sports facilities to be designed for shared use
LR15	Require that amenity space is provided for new family housing
LR20	Require foreshore means of access are safeguarded and supplemented
LR31	Require new hall premises be designed to enable multiple uses
LR42	Encourage increased use of Council's playground school premises
PU3	Require additional information for developments on contaminated land
PU4	Ensure appropriate protection for future users of contaminated land
PU14	Encourage the re-use of construction materials in development schemes
PU15	Seek appropriate distribution of public conveniences through the Borough

Appendix B List of supporting evidence used to inform Core Strategy Policies

This list, with links to the relevant documents is on the Planning policy part of the Council's website. It can be accessed by following the links to Planning, Planning Policy and then selecting 'Evidence Base Documents' within the menu on the left hand side of the page.

Demographic Profiles, Borough and Wards, 2004 (Census data)

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: A Picture of our Community: Facts and Figures about the 2005

The Future of Our Community, Community Strategy 2008 – 2018

NHS Kensington and Chelsea: Planning for the Future. Kensington and Chelsea Strategic Needs Assessment, summary report May 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Public Health and Well-Being Strategy 2007-2012

DfT Manual for Streets: 2007

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: OSC Cabinet Report 24th March "Development of a new school in the North of the Borough"

Kensington and Chelsea PCT 10 year Primary Care Strategy 2008

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Location for a new secondary school report 2009

Metropolitan Police Authority: Planning for Future Police Estate Development (2005)

Metropolitan Police Authority: Asset Management Plan (2007)

Kensington and Chelsea Partnership: Community Strategy 2008-2018

Royal Walkable Neighbourhoods and Reasoned Justification on Social and Community Uses

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Arts and Cultural Policy 2009-2020

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: The study of the visitor economy, 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Understanding the creative and cultural section in Kensington and Chelsea. December 2008

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Hotels Survey, 2004

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea Retail Needs Assessment, Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners, 2008

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Retail Needs Assessment, 2008, Retail Needs Assessment, Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners, Portobello Addendum

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Employment Land and Premises Survey. Roger Tym & Partners, 2007

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Employment Land Review - Update. Roger Tym & Partners, January 2010

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Main Shopping Centres 2008 survey
Retail Commission. A Balance of Trade: Retail: May 2007

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Visitor Economy Study February 2009

GLA, London-wide Town Centres Health Check, 2006 Analysis, January 2007

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Understanding the creative and cultural sector in Kensington & Chelsea, BOP Consulting, 2008

Kensington and Chelsea Partnership Community Strategy 2008-2018

London Town Centre Network, Review for the London Plan, Provisional findings. GLA April 2009

Cross London Rail Links - Chelsea-Hackney Line Safeguarding Directions June 2008:
The Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea: Briefing for the Cabinet Member for Transportation, Environment and Leisure: Chelsea Hackney Line. 9 April 2009

MVA Consultancy: Physical and technical review on three potential sites for an additional Crossrail station for the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. November 2006

Mayor of London. Draft Supplementary Planning Guidance. Use of planning obligations in the funding of Crossrail, May 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Parking Stress Study 2004

Mayor for London/TfL: Travel in London Report. Key trends and developments. Report number 1. 2009

Transport Research Laboratory: Carplus annual survey of car clubs: by D Myers and S Cains. Published project report PPR399. 2008/2009

TfL car club strategy 2008

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: North Pole station – assessment of new station and consideration of bus based alternatives by MVA Consultancy. February 2009.

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: North Pole station: Comparing the convenience of journey-making between via North Pole station on the West London Line and via bus connections: Technical Note by MVA Consultancy. February 2008

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Play Strategy 2006/2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Open Spaces Audit 2004

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Parks Strategy December 2005

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Streetscape guide 2006

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Spatial Analysis of pedestrian movement for the Borough. Atkins, March 2009

Response to the GLA regarding the need for an Open Space Strategy
Play spaces analysis - map
Draft Play Indicators Evaluation Report - June 2007
DCSF Play Pathfinder Project Plan April 2009
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Conservation Area Proposal Statements
CABE guidance Design and Access Statements 2006
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Subterranean Development: Background Study 2007
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Architecture Review
Kensington and Chelsea Partnership: Community Strategy 2008-2018
Kensington and Chelsea Community Safety Partnership Crime and Community Safety Plan 2009-2012
Fordham Research: Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2009
High Buildings Background Study
Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2009 (SHLAA)
Greater London Strategic Housing Market Assessment 2008
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Strategic Housing Market Assessment 2009
Institute of Public Care (May 2008), Older People's Housing Needs – Research Paper, Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea.
GLA Housing Capacity Study 2004
Fordham Research (2008) London Boroughs' Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment: Final Report, GLA
Morris, 2003, DCLG 2006 cited in Ward Thompson, C (2006), Woodland and a Healthy Society.
GLA (September 2007) 'Wheelchair Accessible Housing: Best Practice Guidance: Designing Homes That Can Be Easily Adapted for Residents Who are Wheelchair Users
Fordham Research (2009) Draft Affordable Housing Viability Study
GLA (2004) Accessible London SPG
Housing Stock Option Review, Phase 2: Final Report and Recommendations, Report to Cabinet 16 November 2009 by Chief Housing Officer
Analysis to support climate change indicators for local authorities, April 2008. Technology PLC for the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
Heritage facts and figures

Royal Borough for Kensington and Chelsea: Strategic Flood Risk Assessment 2009

Cost Analysis of the Code for Sustainable Homes – CLG July 2008

Code for Sustainable Homes: setting the standard in sustainability for new homes. February 2008

Code for Sustainable Homes – Technical Guide – 2008

“Cracking the Code” Sustainable Homes April 2008

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Local Air Quality Management Progress Report 2008

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Climate Change Strategy 2008 – 2015

Mayors Ambient Noise Strategy 2004

Department for the Environment and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) / AEA Technology Plc
Environmental Statistics 2005/06:

Environment Agency's State of the Environment:

London Atmospheric Emissions Inventory 2006

Climate Change and the Historic Environment, English Heritage. January 2008

Giddens.G, R.B.K.C Borough Breeding Bird Survey 2006 Report

London Atmospheric Emissions Inventory 2006 GLA (released April 2009)

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Waste Report 2009

Revision of Sites of Nature Conservation Importance, RBKC. June 2009

Global Biodiversity Outlook 2 Report: United Nations 2006

Residential Evidence Base Report for Planning Policy CE1, prepared by Pitman Tozer / Eight Associates, October 2009:

Building a Greener Future, CLG, July 2007

Defra climate projections

Thames Water, Counters Creek Study, 2009.

Audit Commission Site Collection Downloads 2007 and 2008

Kevin Murray Report: Kensal Canalside Pre-feasibility study, January 2009:

Opportunity Areas Borough Briefing GLA 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Golborne Road Report 2006

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Wornington Green “A brief for Change 2006”

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Latimer ‘naming’ report 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Spatial Analysis of pedestrian movement for the Borough Atkins, March 2009

ICC commission report 2005

GLA, London-wide Town Centre Health Checks, January 2007

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: The study of the visitor economy, 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Response to Call for Evidence on Traditional Retail Markets 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. Urban Initiatives: Notting Hill Gate District Centre Framework June 2009

Central London Forward: Infrastructure Study, June 2009.

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea for the Core Strategy: Interim Sustainability Report by Scott Wilson, November 2005

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea for the Core Strategy: Interim Sustainability Report, Non technical summary by Scott Wilson, November 2005

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea for the Core Strategy: Appendices to the Interim Sustainability Report (1-12) by Scott Wilson, November 2005

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea for the Core Strategy: Interim Sustainability Appraisal Scoping reports (Vol 1-3), November 2005

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea for the Core Strategy: Sustainability Appraisal by Scott Wilson, 2009

Equalities Impact Assessment 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Health Impact Assessment 2005 to 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Habitats Directive Screening Assessment 2009

Appendix C Profiles of proposed Local Development Documents

Partial Review of the Core Strategy for the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea with a focus on North Kensington

Enterprise Review

PRIORITY ONE		
Purpose of document	A review of all the employment policies within the 'Fostering Vitality' chapter of the Core Strategy to ensure that they optimise the delivery of employment opportunities and make the best use of land and buildings within the Borough.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Consultation (Reg 18)	From October 2012	A period of on-going dialogue with the business community and residents in the Borough to allow the Council to understand the needs and desires of the relevant stakeholders to optimise the delivery of employment opportunities. A variety of methods will be used including public meetings as well as the publication of more formal consultation documents.
Publication consultation and representation (Reg 19)	July – August 2013	The policies which the Council intends to submit to the Secretary of State will be formally consulted upon, and stakeholders will be asked to comment on the 'soundness' of the plan.
Submission to Secretary of State (Reg 22)	September 2013	Council to submit policies to independent Planning Inspector.
Pre-examination meeting	Possibly October/ November 2013	Planning Inspector outlines those issues he/she plans to concentrate on when assessing the soundness of the policies.
Independent examination	Possibly November 2013	An examination that is open for all to attend to discuss/ justify the 'soundness' of the policies. Agenda will be set by the Inspector, and Inspector will determine which stakeholders he/she wishes to appear so that he may explore their concerns in more detail.

Adoption	Possibly February 2014 Adoption, after examining the Inspector's recommendations, and publication of the policies in the Core Strategy.
Factors influencing this timetable	<p>The nature of the options for employment are likely to be determined by the strength of the private property market and the conclusions drawn from the wider Enterprise Review which is being conducted by the Council. The fact that the Council is trying to optimise delivery of both housing and employment opportunities means that housing delivery will also be a factor in the review and the review of housing policies should therefore follow a similar timetable.</p> <p>Once submission to the Planning Inspectorate occurs the Council is subject to their timetable for examination.</p> <p>It is important that this review sticks to its timetable as the contents of the NPPF will have full weight from March 2013 and existing policies will be assigned less weight. The Council must therefore ensure that the review is in alignment with the contents of the NPPF and further Government changes to planning policy.</p>
Who undertaking work	<p>The project is being lead by the Neighbourhood Team Leader with assistance from the Policy and Neighbourhoods team. Close working with the Economic Development team is also essential so that the Council's wider aims are realised.</p>
Risks to timetable	<p>The preparation of possible options is dependent on a sound evidence base being developed and the timing of announcements for further changes to planning policy by the Government. Work has been put in hand to ensure that this is available prior to the drafting of policy, but it will come from a wide variety of sources and simply collating all of this to be translated into sound policies will be challenging.</p> <p>Once submission to the Planning Inspectorate occurs the Council is subject to their timetable for examination and effectively the Council has no control over the timetable from here on in.</p>
What would success look like?	<p>The adoption of a sound set of employment policies by the beginning of 2014 to ensure that the Council is in the best position to offer businesses what they desire in the Borough and to protect employment opportunities so that a sustainable pattern of growth is achieved.</p>

Housing Review

PRIORITY ONE		
Purpose of document	A review of the policies contained within the 'Diversity of Housing' Chapter to ensure that delivery is optimised and that the housing mix is best suited to the Borough's needs. This is not examining the overall target, as that is set within the London Plan, and is subject to the review timetable of that document.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Consultation (Reg 18)	From October 2012	A review of the policies for housing delivery which will involve an up to date evidence base on housing need being collated, examination of how delivery can be improved from the current policies and for close liaison with colleagues in the Housing department to ensure that the Council's wider housing strategy is being addressed. A variety of methods will be used including public meetings as well as the publication of more formal consultation documents.
Publication consultation and representation (reg 19)	July – August 2013	The policies which the Council intends to submit to the Secretary of State will be formally consulted upon, and stakeholders will be asked to comment on the 'soundness' of the policies.
Submission to Secretary of State (reg 22)	September 2013	Council to submit policies to independent Planning Inspector.
Pre-examination meeting	Possibly October/ November 2013	Planning Inspector outlines those issues he/she plans to concentrate on when assessing the soundness of the policies.
Independent examination	Possibly November 2013	An examination that is open for all to attend to discuss/ justify the 'soundness' of the policies. Agenda will be set by the Inspector, and Inspector will determine which stakeholders he/she wishes to appear so that he may explore their concerns in more detail.
Adoption	Possibly February 2014	Adoption, after examining the Inspector's recommendations, and publication of the policies in the Core Strategy.

<p>Factors influencing this timetable</p>	<p>The nature of the options for housing policy is likely to be determined by the contents of the NPPF, further announcements by the Government on Housing policy and the conclusions drawn from a wider Housing Strategy Review which is being conducted by the Council. The fact that the Council is trying to optimise delivery of both housing and employment opportunities means that employment land issues will also be a factor in the review and the review of employment policies therefore needs to follow a similar timetable.</p> <p>Once submission to the Planning Inspectorate occurs the Council is subject to their timetable for examination.</p> <p>It is important that this review sticks to its timetable as the contents of the NPPF will have full weight from March 2013 and existing policies will be assigned less weight. The Council must therefore ensure that the review is in alignment with the contents of the NPPF.</p>
<p>Who undertaking work</p>	<p>The project is being lead by the Planning Policy Team Leader with assistance from Housing colleagues. Close working with the Housing policy team is essential so that the Council's wider aims are realised.</p>
<p>Risks to timetable</p>	<p>The preparation of possible options is dependent on a sound evidence base being developed and further announcements from the Government regarding Housing policy. Work has been put in hand to ensure that this is available prior to the drafting of policy, but it will come from a wide variety of sources and simply collating all of this to be translated into sound policies will be challenging.</p> <p>Once submission to the Planning Inspectorate occurs the Council is subject to their timetable for examination and effectively the Council has no control over the timetable from here on in.</p>
<p>What would success look like?</p>	<p>The adoption of a sound set of housing delivery policies by the beginning of 2014 to ensure that the Council is in the best position to optimise housing delivery and ensure that housing need is catered for.</p>

Basements Review

PRIORITY ONE		
Purpose of document	A review of the policies relating to subterranean development (basements) to ensure that the Council is doing everything that is possible to mitigate the impact and ensure that this kind of development is truly sustainable and does not harm the character of the Borough in the longer term.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Consultation (Reg 18)	From April 2012	A review of the policies for basement development will involve a large amount of evidence being collected from a variety of sources including detailed site analysis. A variety of methods will be used including public meetings as well as the publication of more formal consultation documents.
Publication consultation and representation (reg 19)	March – May 2013	The policies which the Council intends to submit to the Secretary of State will be formally consulted upon, and stakeholders will be asked to comment on the ‘soundness’ of the policies.
Submission to Secretary of State (reg 22)	June 2013	Council to submit policies to independent Planning Inspector.
Pre-examination meeting	Possibly August/ September 2013	Planning Inspector outlines those issues he/she plans to concentrate on when assessing the soundness of the policies.
Independent examination	Possibly September 2013	An examination that is open for all to attend to discuss/ justify the ‘soundness’ of the policies. Agenda will be set by the Inspector, and Inspector will determine which stakeholders he/she wishes to appear so that he may explore their concerns in more detail.
Adoption	Possibly November 2013	Adoption, after examining the Inspector’s recommendations, and publication of the policies in the Core Strategy.

<p>Factors influencing this timetable</p>	<p>The nature of the options for basement development will be determined by the evidence that is collected by the Council.</p> <p>This evidence is being collected from a variety of sources and is technically complex. It will be challenging to ensure that it is all collated in the time available to revise the policies.</p> <p>Once submission to the Planning Inspectorate occurs the Council is subject to their timetable for examination.</p> <p>It is important that this review sticks to its timetable as the contents of the NPPF will have full weight from March 2013 and existing policies will be assigned less weight. The Council must therefore ensure that the review is in alignment with the contents of the NPPF.</p>
<p>Who undertaking work</p>	<p>The project is being lead by a Senior Officer in the Planning Policy Team with assistance from Environmental Health and Highway colleagues. Local residents and resident's groups will also form an important source of local evidence but a firm of engineering consultants have also been commissioned. Close working with all these stakeholders is essential so that the Council can effectively control this kind of development.</p>
<p>Risks to timetable</p>	<p>The evidence will come from a wide variety of sources and simply collating all of this to be translated into sound policies will be challenging.</p> <p>Once submission to the Planning Inspectorate occurs the Council is subject to their timetable for examination and effectively the Council has no control over the timetable from here on in.</p>
<p>What would success look like?</p>	<p>The adoption of a sound set of subterranean development policies by the end of 2013 to ensure that the Council is in the best position to safeguard residential amenity and ensure the cutting edge of sustainable development.</p>

Public Houses review

PRIORITY ONE		
Purpose of document	A review of the policy approach to public houses in the Borough and other uses within the A class of the Use Classes Order to ensure that the Council's approach is in alignment with the NPPF. Also protecting those facilities which contribute to the character of the Borough and a sense of place.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Consultation (Reg 18)	From March 2012	<p>A review of the policy approach to public houses in the Borough with a view to their protection. Examining those uses within the A Use Class of the Use Classes Order which contribute to the character of the Borough and a sense of place, or provide a useful community service and to ensure that these uses are also protected.</p> <p>A variety of methods are being used including public meetings as well as the publication of more formal consultation documents.</p>
Publication consultation and representation (reg 19)	September 2012 to October 2012	The policies which the Council intends to submit to the Secretary of State will be formally consulted upon, and stakeholders will be asked to comment on the 'soundness' of the policies.
Submission to Secretary of State (reg 22)	December 2012	Council to submit policies to independent Planning Inspector.
Pre-examination meeting	Possibly January/ February 2013	Planning Inspector outlines those issues he/she plans to concentrate on when assessing the soundness of the policies.
Independent examination	Possibly February 2013	An examination that is open for all to attend to discuss/ justify the 'soundness' of the policies. Agenda will be set by the Inspector, and Inspector will determine which stakeholders he/she wishes to appear so that he may explore their concerns in more detail.

Adoption	Possibly April 2013 Adoption, after examining the Inspector's recommendations, and publication of the policies in the Core Strategy.
Factors influencing this timetable	<p>The Council wishes to ensure that an effective policy to resist the loss of public houses is in place as soon as possible to prevent their continuing loss. This trend is likely to continue because of the very high financial gain that can be accrued by change to residential use.</p> <p>The Council also wishes to ensure that this aspect of the Core Strategy is in alignment with the NPPF and to bring it into line as soon as possible.</p> <p>Once submission to the Planning Inspectorate occurs the Council is subject to their timetable for examination.</p>
Who undertaking work	The project is being lead by the Planning Policy Team Leader.
Risks to timetable	Once submission to the Planning Inspectorate occurs the Council is subject to their timetable for examination and effectively the Council has no control over the timetable from here on in.
What would success look like?	The adoption of sound policies by the end of April 2013 to ensure that the Council is in the best position to resist the loss of public houses in the Borough and those uses which contribute to the character of the Borough and a sense of place, or provide a valuable community service..

Conservation and design review

PRIORITY ONE		
Purpose of document	A review of design and conservation policies in the Core Strategy to ensure that they align with guidance in the NPPF, take the opportunity to address the 'saved' UDP policies and address any policy guidance contained within the Council's Conservation Area Proposals Statements.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Consultation (Reg 18)	From September 2012	A workshop reviewing existing UDP policy and potential gaps will be held with interested parties prior to draft policies being issued for consultation in December.
Publication consultation and representation (Reg 19)	July– August 2013	The policies which the Council intends to submit to the Secretary of State will be formally consulted upon, and stakeholders will be asked to comment on the 'soundness' of the plan.
Submission to Secretary of State (Reg 22)	September 2013	Council to submit policies to independent Planning Inspector.
Pre-examination meeting	Possibly October/ November 2013	Planning Inspector outlines those issues he/she plans to concentrate on when assessing the soundness of the policies.
Independent examination	Possibly November 2013	An examination that is open for all to attend to discuss/ justify the 'soundness' of the policies. Agenda will be set by the Inspector, and Inspector will determine which stakeholders he/she wishes to appear so that he may explore their concerns in more detail.
Adoption	Possibly February 2014	Adoption, after examining the Inspector's recommendations, and publication of the policies in the Core Strategy.

<p>Factors influencing this timetable</p>	<p>Once submission to the Planning Inspectorate occurs the Council is subject to their timetable for examination.</p> <p>It is important that this review sticks to its timetable as the contents of the NPPF will have full weight from March 2013 and existing policies will be assigned less weight. The Council must therefore ensure that the review is in alignment with the contents of the NPPF.</p>
<p>Who undertaking work</p>	<p>The project is being lead by the Neighbourhood Team with assistance from the Policy Team and the Conservation and Design Team.</p>
<p>Risks to timetable</p>	<p>The consolidation of all the design and conservation policies into one planning document together with the identification of any gaps is a complex task.</p> <p>Once submission to the Planning Inspectorate occurs the Council is subject to their timetable for examination and effectively the Council has no control over the timetable from here on in.</p>
<p>What would success look like?</p>	<p>The adoption of a sound set of conservation and design policies by the beginning of 2014 to ensure that they are effective for the descriptive townscape contents of the revised Conservation Area Proposals Statements. To incorporate relevant 'saved' UDP policies into the Core Strategy.</p>

NPPF alignment review

PRIORITY ONE		
Purpose of document	A review of all the adopted policies contained within the Core Strategy to ensure that they in alignment with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Consultation (Reg 18)	From December 2012	The identification of any policies or technical updates required to ensure that the Core Strategy is in alignment with the NPPF and can become a Local Plan. These will then be consulted upon. A variety of methods will be used including public meetings as well as the publication of more formal consultation documents.
Publication consultation and representation (Reg 19)	July to August 2013	The policies which the Council intends to submit to the Secretary of State will be formally consulted upon, and stakeholders will be asked to comment on the 'soundness' of the plan.
Submission to Secretary of State (Reg 22)	September 2013	Council to submit policies to independent Planning Inspector.
Pre-examination meeting	Possibly October/ November 2013	Planning Inspector outlines those issues he/she plans to concentrate on when assessing the soundness of the policies.
Independent examination	Possibly November 2013	An examination that is open for all to attend to discuss/ justify the 'soundness' of the policies. Agenda will be set by the Inspector, and Inspector will determine which stakeholders he/she wishes to appear so that he may explore their concerns in more detail.
Adoption	Possibly February 2014	Adoption, after examining the Inspector's recommendations, and publication of the policies in the Core Strategy.

<p>Factors influencing this timetable</p>	<p>The nature of consultation is likely to depend on the factors which are identified as part of the alignment review. It is anticipated that these are likely to be limited and that a complex review is unlikely to be required. However, if a further evidence base is required this could affect the timetable. The review will also depend on Government announcements on Planning Policy.</p> <p>Once submission to the Planning Inspectorate occurs the Council is subject to their timetable for examination.</p> <p>It is important that this review sticks to its timetable as the contents of the NPPF will have full weight from March 2013 and existing policies will be assigned less weight. The Council must therefore ensure that the review is in alignment with the contents of the NPPF.</p>
<p>Who undertaking work</p>	<p>The project is being lead by the Policy Team Leader. Close working with other Council departments may be required if particular issues are identified.</p>
<p>Risks to timetable</p>	<p>That a more complex issue is identified than anticipated which requires an evidence base to be collated.</p> <p>Once submission to the Planning Inspectorate occurs the Council is subject to their timetable for examination and effectively the Council has no control over the timetable from here on in.</p>
<p>What would success look like?</p>	<p>A Core Strategy which is in alignment with the NPPF so that all policies carry substantial weight and this principal planning document is known as a Local Plan.</p>

RBKC CIL

PRIORITY ONE		
Purpose of document	Introduction of an RBKC Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule	From February to March 2013	The sharing of proposed charging schedules for different types of development based on viability analysis.
Consultation on Final Charging Schedule	July 2013 to August 2013	Stakeholders will have an opportunity to comment on the Final Charging Schedule and the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
Submission to Secretary of State	September 2013	Council to submit charging schedule and the Infrastructure Delivery Plan for independent examination.
Pre-examination meeting	Possibly November 2013	To be confirmed. This may not be required.
Independent examination	Possibly November 2013	An examination that is open for all to attend to discuss/ justify the 'soundness' of the charging schedule and Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Agenda will be set by the Inspector, and Inspector will determine which stakeholders he/she wishes to appear so that he may explore their concerns in more detail.
Adoption	Possibly February 2014	Adoption, after examining the Inspector's recommendations.

<p>Factors influencing this timetable</p>	<p>The contents of the Schedule will be dependent on whether contributions are taken for affordable housing, or whether this will be negotiated separately. Government guidance on the subject is anticipated in October 2012, but if the announcement is significantly later the process may become more complex.</p> <p>Once submission to the Planning Inspectorate occurs the Council is subject to their timetable for examination.</p>
<p>Who undertaking work</p>	<p>The project is being lead by the Planning Policy Team with a dedicated s106/CIL officer. Close working with other Council departments and other external stakeholders is required for the compilation of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.</p>
<p>Risks to timetable</p>	<p>The contents of the Schedule will be dependent on whether contributions are taken for affordable housing, or whether this will be negotiated separately. Government guidance on the subject is anticipated in October 2012, but if the announcement is significantly later the process may become more complex.</p> <p>Once submission to the Planning Inspectorate occurs the Council is subject to their timetable for examination and effectively the Council has no control over the timetable from here on in.</p>
<p>What would success look like?</p>	<p>An adopted Community Infrastructure Levy which will capture more development than at present with regard to infrastructure costs. That more funding is available, and it can be applied more flexibly, to fund infrastructure projects</p>

Supplementary Planning Documents

New Subterranean SPD

PRIORITY ONE		
Purpose of document	To produce a planning and design brief to guide future uses and the design of the site.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Participation (Reg 12)	To be confirmed	The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	To be confirmed	Having considered the representations received at the Reg 12 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The collection of a suitable evidence base, including an issues and options consultation may take longer than anticipated. This is required prior to a draft SPD being issued for consultation. If the SPD is based on new Core Strategy policies these will have to be found sound prior to it being adopted. The timetable for the review of the relevant Core Strategy policies will therefore be influential.	
Who undertaking work	The Planning Policy Team.	
Risks to timetable	That the evidence base takes longer to collate than anticipated and the timetable for the revisions to the Core Strategy policies takes longer than anticipated.	
What would success look like?	An adopted SPD which mitigates the impact of basement development and makes it a more sustainable form of development than at present.	

Annual Monitoring Report (AMR)

PRIORITY ONE – Annual Monitoring Report (AMR)		
Purpose of document	To monitor how effective the Council's planning policies have been in delivering high quality and sustainable development	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Collection of monitoring data	Summer each year	Students normally employed to collect some of the relevant data which is collated from a wide variety of sources.
Publication	Normally on an annual basis in early January but this may vary in the future.	
Factors influencing this timetable	The relevant data is not collected or not available in the relevant time period. Some data comes from sources which are outside the control of the Planning department.	
Who undertaking work	The Planning Policy Team.	
Risks to timetable	Some data comes from sources which are outside the control of the Planning department and may not be readily available in the time period for collating.	
What would success look like?	A report which accurately reflects the effectiveness of Core Strategy policies in delivering what they set out to do.	

PRIORITY TWO

Supplementary Planning Documents

Edenham SPD

PRIORITY TWO		
Purpose of document	To produce a planning and design brief to guide future uses and the design of the site.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Participation (Reg 12)	Ma 2012 to May 2013	The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known, following a number of workshops and discussion groups about the emerging ideas.
Adoption	June 2013	Having considered the representations received at the Reg 12 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The collection of a suitable evidence base, including an issues and options consultation may take longer than anticipated because of the variety of evidence to be collected. This is required prior to a draft SPD being issued for consultation.	
Who undertaking work	The Neighbourhoods Team.	
Risks to timetable	That the evidence base takes longer to collate than anticipated or other issues are raised which were not originally anticipated	
What would success look like?	An adopted SPD which speeds up development and provides certainty for the provision of uses and design of the site.	

Notting Hill Gate SPD

PRIORITY TWO		
Purpose of document	To produce a planning and design brief to guide future uses and the design of the site.	
Document production		
Stage	Date What involved	
Public Participation (Reg 12)	Issues and Options autumn 2012 to January 2013. Consultation on draft March to May 2013.	The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the issues and options and on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	June 2013	Having considered the representations received at the Reg 12 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The collection of a suitable evidence base, including an issues and options consultation may take longer than anticipated because of the variety of evidence to be collected and the number of stakeholders involved. This is required prior to a draft SPD being issued for consultation.	
Who undertaking work	The Neighbourhoods Team.	
Risks to timetable	That the evidence base takes longer to collate than anticipated or other issues are raised which were not originally anticipated	
What would success look like?	An adopted SPD which speeds up development and provides certainty for the provision of uses and design of the site.	

Kensal SPD

PRIORITY TWO - Kensal SPD		
Purpose of document	<p>To prepare a planning brief and master plan to inform the nature of uses and form of development on this strategic site (and the GLA's Opportunity Area Proposals Framework).</p> <p>This document will address the GLA's requirements with regard the publication of the OAPF.</p>	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	June 2012	The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the various stages of SPD development.
Adoption	October 2013	Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The Council intends to have adopted this SPD before the owners of the site are expected to submit a planning application.	
Who undertaking work	The production of the SPD will be lead by the Neighbourhoods Team with assistance from colleagues in Highways and Transportation. The GLA are likely to have some input into the project.	
Risks to timetable	<p>Projects which involve cross organisational working may be subject to unforeseen delays beyond the control of this Council.</p> <p>A major factor affecting the amount of development on the Kensal site is the decision relating to a Crossrail station at Kensal.</p>	
What would success look like?	The adoption of a planning brief by the time a planning application is received for the site, so that the brief can help inform the nature and density of development on the site.	

PRIORITY TWO - Public Involvement in Planning (incorporating the Statement of Community Involvement)

Purpose of document	To inform relevant stakeholders what they can expect from the Council in terms of consultation for different planning documents including planning applications	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Participation	March 2012 to January 2013	An initial consultation on a draft document followed by consultation on a final version.
Adoption	March 2013	Having considered the representations received at both consultation stages the Council will adopt and publish the document.
Factors influencing this timetable	The Council would wish to ensure that any processes adopted in the document are sustainable in the longer term and offer value for money. This needs to be considered carefully.	
Who undertaking work	The Neighbourhoods team and the Planning Policy team.	
Risks to timetable	That the processes are actually more complicated than anticipated or they do not have the desired outcome.	
What would success look like?	Clear consultation procedures on all planning documents which are easy to understand, effective and offer value for money.	